FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AUG 1 9 2009

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT, 28 U.S.C. \$2254 - PERSONS IN STATE CUSTODY) 09.1106

Gerald E. Brewer. sr. , PETITIONER

(Full name) (Include name under which you were convicted)

vs. CASE NO. (Supplied by the Court)

Not in Custody (commonwealth of PA Parole, RESPONDENT (Name of Warden, Superintendent, Jailor, or authorized person having custody of petitioner)

and

THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF THE COUNTY OF Allegheny

and

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF Pennsy Vania

ADDITIONAL RESPONDENT

Bard of Probation and Parole

Name Prison Number

Not in Confinement Parole # 643 DP

Flace of Confinement Pennsy Vania

(If petitioner is attacking a state court judgment which imposed a sentence to be served in the <u>future</u>, petitioner must fill in the name of the state where the judgment was entered. If petitioner has a sentence to be served in the <u>future</u> under a federal judgment which he wishes to attack, he should file a motion under 28 U.S.C. §2255, in the federal court which entered the judgment. DO NOT USE THIS FORM FOR §2255 PURPOSES.)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

INSTRUCTIONS - READ CAREFULLY

Clerk U.S. District Court P. O. Box 1805 Pittsburgh, PA 15230

PETITION

1.	Name and location of court which entered the judgment under
	actack: Court of Commen Pleas of Allegheny County
5	uperior Gurt of PA-western, Alleghany County, PA
2.	Criminal Docket Number: <u>CP-02-CR-0003571-2002</u>
3.	Date of imposition of sentence: <u>August 25 2003</u>
4.	Length of sentence: Aggregate term of 2-7 years
57	Sature of offense or offenses for which you were convicted: Reff By unlawful Taking / Disposition - F-3 Petaliation Against Witness - misdeaners
€.	What was your plea? (Check one) Not Guilty ()
	Nolo Contendere ()
	If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and a not
	guilty plea to another count or indictment, give details:
7.	If you were found guilty after a plea of not guilty, check whether
'·	finding was made by: Jury // Judge only ()
6	Did you testify at the trial? Yes 1 No ()
8.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9.	Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? Yes 🔑) No ()
10.	If you did appeal, answer the following:
	(a) First Appeal (1) Name of Court: Sufreme Court
	(2) Docket Number:
	(3) Result: denied allowance of appeal or direct oftal 12050
	(4) Citation (If known): <u>ukn</u> .
	(b) Second Appeal
	(1) Name of Court of Common Plans of Allegheny
	County '

	(2) Docket Number: <u>CC 2002 035 7/</u>
	(3) Result: denied-amended ARA Filed 03-15-06 09-21-0
	(4) Citation (If known): <u>uKn</u>
	(c) If you filed a petition for certiorari in the United States
	Supreme Court, give details:
11.	Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and
	sentence, have you previously filed any petitions, appli-cations,
	or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or
	Rederal, including petitions under the Post Conviction Hearing Ret? Yes W No () Allocatur denied November 25, 2008
12.	If your answer is "Yes" to 11, give the following information:
	(1) Name of court: Superior Court october 2,2007
	(2) Nature of proceeding: Notice of Appeal
	(3) Grounds raised: Error refuses to great PCPA
	relief. Right to due Process of law. Error,
	refusing PCRA relief in vacating Conviction on Retaliat
	(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your Charge
	petition, application or motion? Yes () No
	(5) Result: Date:
	(b) As to any second petition, application or motion give the
	same information: supreme Court of PA 06-08
	(1) Name of Court:
	(2) Nature of Proceeding: Affect Appear
	(3) Grounds raised: Fullering Benied 12-16-08
	<u>court</u>
	(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your
	petition, application or motion? Yes () No
	(5) Result: Date:

(c)							
	same	e information:					
	(1)	Name of Court:					
	(2)	Nature of Proceeding:					
	(3)	Grounds raised:					
	(4)	Aid you receive an evidentiary hearing on your					
		petition, application or motion? Yes () No ()					
	(5)						
(d)	Did	you appeal to the highest state court having juris-					
	dic	tion the result of any action on any petition, appli-					
		ion or motion:					
	(1)	First petition, etc.: Yes () No ()					
	(2)	Second petition, etc.: Yes \mathscr{U} No ()					
	(3)	Third petition, etc.: Yes () No ()					
(e) If you did <u>not</u> appeal from the adverse action on any							
	petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did						
	not	?/A					
Stat	e <u>con</u> c	cisely every ground on which you claim that you are being					
		wfully. State <u>specifically</u> the <u>facts</u> support-ing each					
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
grou	ina. I	<u>(ou should raise in this petition all available grounds — </u>					
-	_						
(re	latin	g to this conviction) on which you are being held in					
(re)	latin tody u	g to this conviction) on which you are being held in inlawfully, and you should state all the facts sufficient					
(re)	lating tody u	g to this conviction) on which you are being held in inlawfully, and you should state all the facts sufficient teach ground. DO SO NOW; because of recent changes in					
(re) cust to s the	lating tody u uppor law yo	g to this conviction) on which you are being held in inlawfully, and you should state all the facts sufficient teach ground. DO SO NOW; because of recent changes in ou may not be given an opportunity to amend your petition					
(re) cust to s the	lating tody u uppor law yo	g to this conviction) on which you are being held in inlawfully, and you should state all the facts sufficient teach ground. DO SO NOW; because of recent changes in ou may not be given an opportunity to amend your petition en instructions, number 7.).					
(re) cust to s the late	lating tody upported to the property of the pr	g to this conviction) on which you are being held in inlawfully, and you should state all the facts sufficient teach ground. DO SO NOW; because of recent changes in ou may not be given an opportunity to amend your petition					

Petitioners reguest for a new trial when the petitioner established that he had Discovered after his conviction that the District Attorney's Office had Failed to turn over exculpatory evidence at his trial, a violation of his due process under BRADY V. MARYLAND. 373 U.S. 83. In the afternative, the trial court errel when it failed to aware the Petitioner a new trial based upon his claim of after -. discovered evidence (document witheld by the District Attorney: office at trial). chief investigator (for the Projecution) disclosed locument in civil deposition showing errors that left her Transial Calculations of alleged missing funds demonstrating to evidence of theft. Common wealth claimed no Brady working document, "or typograhical errors that showed an actual vorking document," or typograhical errors that showed an actual working accument, or typograhical errors that showed an actual writing of money in lieu of missing/shortage.

Exculfatory evidence was discovered at civil deposition when a could not have been of niverstigator, produced a document that has not have been before and during trial, and thus could not have been before and during trial, and thus could not have been bearing prior or through other reasonable diligence. They bearing prior or through other reasonable diligence. They bearing the prior or through other reasonable diligence. They bearing the prior of the evidence of what was or was not missing the prior of the prior that has a first through occurred at all.

For the was critical to fetitioners case, and of a nature was waster a theft had occurred at all. this document was critical to fetitioners Case, and of a nature and character that it would likely comfel and documentary of this trial, due to the circumstantial and documentary ature of the prosecution. This document would have ature of the prosecution. This document would have lisued the Atitioner the opportunity to place before the lisued the Atitioner the opportunity to place before the rise a complete and detailed accounting and methodology and a complete and detailed accounting and methodology are accounted to a contract and country work on this are accounted to the analysis of the money could be clarify as the detailed calculations of the money could be clarify and country to the accounting and methodology are accounted to the analysis of the money could be clarify as the accounting and methodology are accounted to the analysis of the money could be clarify as the account and the account account and the account account and the account accou emenstrated as to what went in and Came out of the emenstrated as to what went in and Came out of the hief's (Atitioners) safe during the Autitioners tenure itside of a Flawed property voucher system. st Amendment issue: state of Pa Conviction: "Retaliation Against witness." 18 Pa C.S.A. 4953-misdemeanor. Sentence 1-2 years. A. The first Amendment gives this Petitioner a right to Petition the signature of redress of grievances, and to express my opinion sarding matters of fullic concern a voluntary resignation as B. Petitioner's Petiter to mayor after first Amendment, be cause it if of Palice was privilegel under the first Amendment, be cause it is a means of seeking redress of grievances and requesting a means of seeking redress of public policy Consuption or rection of matters important to Public Policy Consuption the Police defortment. District Attorney of fice used fatition the Commonwealth District Attorney of Africe used fatition the Commonwealth District Attorney of Africe used fatition. C- The Commonwealth District Afterneys office used fetitioners of the commonwealth district Attorneys of the weater to incarcerate ist Ameriment lefter to the mayor as a reason to incarcerate e and convict me of a crime. This, in violation of the federal elits frotected under the first Amendment of the federal ionstitution. End of item 13.

her court, state or federal, state briefly what grounds w	
presented, and give your reasons for not present-ing	; them:
	
_	
you have any petition or appeal now pending in any	court,
ther state or federal, as to the judgment under at	tack?
s () No Vi	
ve the name and address, if known, of each attorn	ey who
presented you in the following stages of the judgment at	tacked
rein:	
At preliminary hearing: Stanley Greenfield	- pit-
) At arraignment and plea:	
) At trial: Pro Se	
) At sentencing: Pro Se	
) On appeal:Stanley Greenfield - P.	Heleur
) In any post-conviction proceeding: Victoria	_Vìo
Allegheny Public Defenders offi	ce
) On appeal from any adverse ruling in a post-conv	
proceeding: Victoria Vidt-Alleghe	ny (0
Public Defenders office	
re you sentenced on more than one count of any indictme	ent, or
re than one indictment, in the same court and at the sam	e time:
s /) No ()	
you have any future sentence to serve after you compl	ete the
ntence imposed by the judgment under attack?	
Yes () No W	
) If so, give name and location of court which i	mposed
·	-

(b)	And give date	and length o	f sentence	to be served in the
	future:	W/A		
		<i>y</i> - <i>y</i> .		

(c) Have you filed, or do you contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to be served in the future? Yes () No

Wherefore, petition prays that the court grant petitioner relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding.

Executed at: Pltsburgh, Allegheny, PA (City, County, State)

I. Gerald F. Brewer Stdeclare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: // August 2009

(Signature of Petitioner)

(NOTE: No Notary Certification Required)

mailing:

Gerald E. Brewer, sr.
3276 Brodhead Road
Aliguippa, PA 15001
412.390.6992
724.728.1343
jbrewer & cjiausa.org